Information on the Award of the Contract under CAREC Corridor 3 Improvement Project (Bishkek–Osh Road,) Bishkek-Kara-Balta section (κм 15,9-км 61).

The Ministry of Transport and Roads of the Kyrgyz Republic has completed selection of a contractor for road construction and rehabilitation works under CAREC Corridor 3 Improvement Project (Bishkek–Osh Road,) Bishkek-Kara-Balta section (км 15,9-км 61).

By the deadline for submission of bids which was February 9, 2016 at 15:00, only 17 companies submitted their bids, of which TusanYapi Sanayi A.S from Turkey was not accepted because of late submittal. At the meeting of the tender committee on February 9, 2016, the technical proposals of the following 16 companies were opened:

- 1. Synohydro Corporation Limited (PRC);
- 2. China Railway First Group Co., Ltd (PRC);
- 3. Alke Insaat Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (Turkey)
- 4. JV Gordostroy LLP/Avtodorservice LLP/Seiser LLP (Kazakhstan);
- 5. Azvirt LLC (Azerbaijan)
- 6. China Gezhouba Group Limited (PRC);
- 7. Tasyapi Insaat Taahhut Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (Turkey);
- 8. Shanghai Construction Group Co., Ltd (PRC)
- 9. Xin Jiang Road & Bridge Construction Group Co., Ltd (PRC);
- 10. China Railway 19th Bureau Group Co., Ltd (PRC);
- 11. Xinjiang Beixin Road and Bridge Group Co., Ltd (PRC);
- 12. Dogus Insaat ve Ticaret A.S. (Turkey);
- 13. China Road & Bridge Corporation Kyrgyzstan Office (PRC);
- 14. China Railway No.5 Engineering Group Co., LTD (PRC);
- 15. Kocoglu Insaat Sanayi Tarim ve Hayvancilik Ticaret A.S. (Turkey);
- 16. Cakir Yapi Sanayi ve Tic A.S. (Turkey).

During the evaluation of technical proposals, the bids of the following participants were found not to be in compliance with the requirements of the tender documentation and were rejected.

№	Company name and country	Reason for rejection		
	of origin	, and the second		
1	China Railway First Group Co.,	Blacklisted by ADB from 11/02/2016 to 11/02/2019.		
1	Ltd (KHP)			
	JV Gordostroy	The bidder did not meet the requirements of		
		Form EXP-1 "Contracts similar in scope and nature of work";		
		Part of the proposed equipment did not meet		
		the eligibility requirements in accordance with		
		ITB 5.1;		
2	LLP/Avtodorservice LLP/Seiser	Part of the financial proposal (Payment		
	LLP (Казахстан);	Schedule) was included in the Technical		
		Proposal, which violates the instructions		
		specified in Section 1 of the ITB "Instruction to		
		bidders in preparation of tender proposals",		
		ITB Section 11 (Documents Composing the		
		Bid) and ITB Section 20.		
		Not responsive with EXP-1 clause 2.4.1 of the		
3	Tasyapi Insaat Taahhut Sanayi	tender documentation due to lack of experience		
	ve Ticaret A.S. (Турция)	in similar contracts, as the company used an overvalued exchange rate.		

4	Xin Jiang Road & Bridge Construction Group Co., Ltd (KHP)	The bidder indicated the value of the contract in the Cover Letter for the Financial Proposal, which was included in the Technical Proposal. This action is unacceptable for the one-stage two-envelop bidding procedure. The Bidder included in its Technical Proposal a Schedule of Payments, where it again indicated the value of the contract, which is inadmissible according to the requirements of the tender documentation. In the form PER "Proposed Personnel", CV of the Quantity Engineer was not available. Form FIN-3 "Availability of financial resources" was not filled in accordance with the requirements of the Procurement Document. Form EQU "Equipment" and procurement documents for 4 bulldozers were missing. Contracts attached to forms EXP-1 "Contracts similar in scope and nature of work" and EXP-2 "Experience in basic types of construction works" did not comply with the requirements of the bidding document.	
5	Dogus Insaat ve Ticaret A.S. (Турция)	Does not meet the clause 2.4.1 "Contracts similar in scope and nature of work". There is no experience in similar contracts as none of the 4 submitted contracts is acceptable. The company applied an overvalued exchange rate.	
6	Kocoglu Insaat Sanayi Tarim ve Hayvancilik Ticaret A.S. (Турция)	The bidder submitted only one copy of the original tender proposal. The validity of the bid was 128 days instead of the required 180 days; No bank guarantee of USD 1.8 million was available, however, the bidder indicated that the bank guarantee would be provided as soon as the contract was awarded; The bidder included in the technical proposal a cover letter on submission of the financial proposal, where paragraph (f) stated that the bid validity is 28 days from the deadline for submission of bids.	
7	Cakir Yapi Sanayi ve Tic A.S. (Турция)	There is no letter of submittal of the bid. There were deviations in the text of the Bid Security. The validity of the bid security expires on July 7, 2016. The bid security must be valid for a period of 4 weeks following the bid validity (180 days + 4 weeks). There were no supporting documents for the proposed equipment.	

	The form for completing the Financial			
	Resources Requirements FIN-4 (ITB 2.3.3)			
	was missing.			
	The form for filling out information on			
	experience in basic types of construction works (ITB 2.4.2) was incomplete.			
	There are no copies of the Client's Certificates			
	confirming the information on the work			
	experience specified in Forms EXP-1			
	"Contracts similar in scope and nature of work"			
	and EXP-2 "Experience in basic types of			
	construction works."			

On June 15, 2016, the financial proposals of following bidders whose proposals met the requirements of the bidding documents were opened.

No	Company name	Country	Bid Price (USD)	Bid Price after correction of arithmetic errors and considering the proposed discounts (USD)
1	Synohydro Corporation Limited	PRC	98 082 339.71	99 545 223,80
2	Alke Insaat SanayiveTicaret A.S.	Turkey	83 600 022.61	83 634 213,97
3	"Azvirt" LLC	Azerbaijan	81 526 758.87	82 842 901,95
4	China Gezhouba Group Company Limited	PRC	84 647 132.60	87 282 838,18
5	Shanghai Construction Group Co., Ltd	PRC	107 875 327.63	108 261 837,68
6	China Railway 19 th Bureau Group Co, Ltd	PRC	86 892 780.72	86 931 767,01
7	Xinjiang Beixin Road and Bridge Group	PRC	109 740 008.40	110 107 382,70
8	China Road & Bridge Corporation Kyrgyzstan Office	PRC	107 897 548.33 8 908 971.88 (дисконт)	98 907 556,56
9	China Railway No.5 Engineering Group Co., LTD	PRC	70 295 238.09	70 239 899,28

Based on the results of the technical and financial evaluation, China Railway No.5 Engineering Group Co., LTD is recognized as the company offered the lowest price and responsive to the requirements of the tender documentation, with the bid price of USD 70,239,899.28. Duration of Contract is 1080 days.